

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/646,255	08/22/2003	Adam G. Trubitt	9484	
7590 11/30/2004			EXAMINER	
Adam G. Trub 12065 Rue Mor			MILLER, BENA B	
San Diego, CA 92131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/646,255	TRUBITT, ADAM G.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bena Miller	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
i	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	of the final rejection.					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the cont	t extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply on a later than three months after the mails	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	t 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe		ee NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the				
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:</li></ul>	g a corresponding number of fir	ally rejected claims.				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	20(0):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for rapplication in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been consid	ered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		issues which were newly				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		or appointed.				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>17 and 18</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	ved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
		7				
	<i>(-</i>	and Alla				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The claims would be in condition for allowance if the 112, 2nd issues were resolved. The examiner attempted to contact applicant on 11/22/04 and 11/23/04 in order resolve the 112 issues and expedite prosecution; however, the applicant was avaliable.